

JUDGE FRANKLIN D. BURGESS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	NO. CR05-5827FDB
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED
	)	MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL
JAMES JEAN FEDERSPIEL,	)	DATE AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS'
	)	DEADLINE
Defendant.	)	
	)	

Upon the stipulation of the parties to continue the trial date in the above-captioned case, the Court finds that a failure to grant the continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii).

The Court further finds that the ends of justice will be served by ordering a continuance in this case, that a continuance is necessary to insure effective trial preparation and that these factors outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the trial date of February 13, 2006 be continued to April 17, 2006, and the due date for the pretrial motions be extended to February 10, 2006.

//

//

1 The period of delay resulting from the continuance of the trial date from February 13,  
2 2006, up to and including the new trial date of April 17, 2006, is hereby excluded for  
3 speedy trial purposes under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A) and (B).

4 DONE this 17<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2006.

5  
6  
7  
8 

9 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented By:

11 /s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
12 MIRIAM F. SCHWARTZ  
13 Attorney for Defendant

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
KENT LIU  
Assistant United States Attorney